## SHORTER NOTES

## HOMER, ODYSSEY 1.132-3

πὰρ δ' αὐτὸς κλισμὸν θέτο ποικίλον ἔκτοθεν ἄλλων μνηστήρων, μὴ κείνος ἀνιηθεὶς ὀρυμαγδῷ δείπνω ἀδήσειεν

'Apart from the others, the suitors', as Stephanie West translates, adding, 'ἄλλος, as often, is followed by an epexegetic noun.' So too Stanford and other commentators and translators. Of this usage there are, as far as I know, two certain instances in the two Homeric epics (Od.~8.368-9,~10.485). Might we consider an alternative? Punctuate with a comma after ἄλλων (rather than after  $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\rho\omega\nu$ ) and take  $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\rho\omega\nu$  with  $\partial\rho\nu\mu\alpha\gamma\partial\dot{\omega}$ . This gives perfectly good sense and removes the unpleasantness of the two bare datives juxtaposed. Although to us, the readers of the Odyssey, the meaning of the sentence is ambiguous, Homer's audience would have known immediately from the bard's oral recitation how the words were to be connected.

University of Illinois, Urbana

HOWARD JACOBSON

- <sup>1</sup> S. West (ed.), A Commentary on Homer's Odyssey 1 (Oxford, 1988), 93 ad 1.132–3.
- <sup>2</sup> A case could also be made at *Od.* 1.128, 2.412, 9.367, 15.449.
- <sup>3</sup> Eustathius ad loc. writes τὸν γοῦν ὑπὸ τῶν μνηστήρων γινόμενον ὅμαδον ὀρυμαγδὸν λέγει. This may suggest he read μνηστήρων with ὀρυμαγδῷ. The fact that the scholion ad loc. gives the lemma as ἔκτοθεν ἄλλων (not ἔκτοθεν ἄλλων μνηστήρων) may be significant (cf. e.g. the scholia at Od. 1.60 and 312, where the lemma overlaps the next line).
- <sup>4</sup> For the placement of  $\mu\dot{\eta}$ , cf. e.g. *Il*. 5.24, 6.57, 9.522, 15.196, *Od*. 16.133, 18.20, 62, 24.174. A separation as great as  $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\rho\omega\nu\dots\dot{\delta}\rho\nu\mu\alpha\gamma\delta\dot{\phi}$  is not common in Homer, but extreme examples can also be seen at *Il*. 11.242–3, 12.177–8, 23.339–40 and *Od*. 21.372–3.
- <sup>5</sup> I am indebted to Prof. David Sansone for a beneficial reading of an earlier version of this note.

## AN EMENDATION TO THE SCHOLIA ON HOMER'S NEKUIA1

The scholium TV at *Odyssey* 11.385 reads:<sup>2</sup>

άλλὰ καὶ ὁ Σίσυφος κέρδιστος ὢν διὰ τί κολάζεται; τὸ κακότροπον ὁ Γλαῦκος τοῦ προγόνου εἰς σύνεσιν μετήνεγκεν. πῶς δὲ καὶ ὁ Ἡρακλῆς ἐνταῦθα μένων θεός; καὶ πῶς οἰόν τε τὸν αὐτὸν εἶναι καὶ ἐν Ἅιδου καὶ ἐν οὐρανῷ; καὶ ἡ Ἡβη δὲ καθ' Ὁμηρον παρθένος, ὅθεν καὶ οὐκοχοεῖ. ἀπίθανον δὲ αὐτὸν ἔχειν καὶ τὴν σκευήν. μὴ πιὼν δὲ πῶς ὁμιλεῖ; τὴν μὲν σκευὴν ἔχει κατὰ φαντασίαν, τοὺς δὲ δύο στίχους καὶ ἡμεῖς ἀθετοῦμεν, εἴδωλον, καί, τέρπεται ἐν θαλίης. οὐ πάντες δὲ οὖτοι

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I would like to thank Richard Martin and the anonymous referee for their helpful comments on this piece.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> G. Dindorf, Scholia Graeca in Homeri Odysseam (Oxford, 1855), 511–12. All subsequent references to the scholia of the Odyssey are to this edition, which remains the most recent with the exception of A. Ludwich's Scholia in Homeri Odysseas A 1–309 Auctoria et Emendatiora (Hildesheim, 1966), which covers but a small portion of the whole.